IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

vs.

2:24-cr-189 JUDGE SARAH D. MORRISON

BRIAN JOSEPH ROBERTS

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

The United States and defendant Brian Joseph Roberts entered into an Amended Plea Agreement¹, executed pursuant to the provisions of Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(c)(1)(C), whereby defendant agreed to plead guilty to an Information, ECF No. 37, charging him with sexual exploitation of a minor in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2252(a)(2), (b)(1)(Count 1), and possession of child pornography in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2252(a)(4)(B), (b)(2) (Count 2).² Each count includes the specification that defendant had previously been convicted of a criminal offense relating to the sexual abuse of a minor. Id. The Information also includes a forfeiture provision. Id. On February 12, 2025, defendant personally appeared with his counsel for an arraignment and entry of guilty plea proceeding.

Defendant waived his right to an indictment in open court and after being advised of the nature of the charges and of his rights. See Fed. R. Crim P. 7(b).

Defendant consented, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(3), to enter a guilty plea before a Magistrate Judge. See United States v. Cukaj, 25

¹ The Amended Plea Agreement was filed at the time of the arraignment in order to correct a typographical error and a forfeiture omission that appeared in the original Plea Agreement, ECF No. 38.

² In addition to specifying sentencing terms, the Amended Plea Agreement

In addition to specifying sentencing terms, the Amended Plea Agreement includes an appellate waiver provision that preserves only certain claims for appeal, collateral challenge, or motion for reduction of sentence. Under the Plea Agreement, defendant agreed to the forfeiture of specified electronic devices and an accessory; he also agreed to a restitution obligation and to mandatory and possible special assessments. Defendant also acknowledged his obligations under SORNA and the special conditions of any term of supervised release.

Fed. Appx. 290, 291(6^{th} Cir. 2001)(Magistrate Judge may accept a guilty plea with the express consent of the defendant and where no objection to the report and recommendation is filed).

During the plea proceeding, the undersigned observed the appearance and responsiveness of defendant in answering questions. Based on that observation, the undersigned is satisfied that, at the time he entered his guilty plea, defendant was in full possession of his faculties, was not suffering from any apparent physical or mental illness, and was not under the influence of narcotics, other drugs, or alcohol.

Prior to accepting defendant's plea, the undersigned addressed defendant personally and in open court and determined his competence to plead. Based on the observations of the undersigned, defendant understands the nature and meaning of the charges in the *Information* and the consequences of his plea of guilty to those charges.

Defendant was also addressed personally and in open court and advised of each of the rights referred to in Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.

Having engaged in the colloquy required by Rule 11, the Court concludes that defendant's plea is voluntary. Defendant acknowledged that the Amended Plea Agreement signed by him, his attorney, and the attorney for the United States and filed on February 12, 2025, represents the only promises made by anyone regarding the charges in the Information. Defendant was advised that the District Judge may accept or reject the Amended Plea Agreement. Defendant was further advised that, if the District Judge refuses to accept the Amended Plea Agreement, defendant will have the opportunity to withdraw his guilty plea but that, if he does not withdraw his guilty plea under those circumstances, the District Judge may impose a sentence that is more severe than the sentence contemplated in the Amended Plea Agreement, up to the statutory maximum.

Defendant confirmed the accuracy of the statement of facts supporting the charges, which is attached to the *Amended Plea*

Agreement. He confirmed that he is pleading guilty to Counts 1 and 2 of the *Information* because he is in fact guilty of those crimes. The Court concludes that there is a factual basis for the plea.

The Court concludes that defendant's plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2 of the *Information* is knowingly and voluntarily made with understanding of the nature and meaning of the charges and of the consequences of the plea.

It is therefore **RECOMMENDED** that defendant's guilty plea to Counts 1 and 2 of the *Information* be accepted. Decision on acceptance or rejection of the *Amended Plea Agreement* was deferred for consideration by the District Judge after the preparation of a presentence investigation report.

In accordance with S.D. Ohio Crim. R. 32.1, and as expressly agreed to by defendant through counsel, a written presentence investigation report will be prepared by the United States Probation Office. Defendant will be asked to provide information; defendant's attorney will assist him through that process. Objections to the presentence report must be made in accordance with the rules of this Court.

If any party seeks review by the District Judge of this Report and Recommendation, that party may, within fourteen (14) days, file and serve on all parties objections to the Report and Recommendation, specifically designating this Report and Recommendation, and the part thereof in question, as well as the basis for objection thereto. 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1); F.R. Civ. P. 72(b). Response to objections must be filed within fourteen (14) days after being served with a copy thereof. F.R. Civ. P. 72(b).

The parties are specifically advised that failure to object to the Report and Recommendation will result in the forfeiture of the right to de novo review by the District Judge and of the right to appeal the decision of the District Court adopting the Report and Recommendation. See United States v. Wandahsega, 924 F.3d 868, 878 (6th Cir. 2019); Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140 (1985).

February 12, 2025 Date s/ Norah McCann King Norah McCann King

United States Magistrate Judge